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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the uniform stability of a class of nonlinear acoustic wave motions with boundary and localized interior damping. Here the damping and potential in the boundary displacement equation are nonlinear. Moreover, the nonlinear system contains the localized interior damping term, which indicates that there is a thin absorption material and flow resistance on the endophragm of the boundary. Since some lower-order term in the nonlinear wave system is not below the energy level, the "compactness-uniqueness" method is not suitable for the problem. Our main purpose is to present a new method to obtain uniform decay rates for these damped wave equations with nonlinear acoustic boundary conditions.
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1. Introduction. Since Beale and Rosencrans [6] introduced acoustic boundary conditions for wave equations in 1974, there has been a variety of research on wave or other kinds of equations with acoustic boundary conditions; see $[3,5-11,14,18]$ and references therein. Because of their applicability to many areas, such as noise reduction and vibration control, the studies about such boundary conditions have attracted lots of attention.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with a smooth boundary $\Gamma$. Let $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{1}$ with $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ closed, disjoint, and nonempty. Denote by $\nu(x)$ the outer unit vector normal to the boundary $\Gamma_{1}$. We consider the following acoustic boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t t}(x, t)-\Delta u(x, t)+w(x) u_{t}(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0  \tag{1.1}\\
& u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{0}, t>0  \tag{1.2}\\
& u_{t}(x, t)+z_{t t}(x, t)+f\left(z_{t}\right)+g(z)=0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{1}, t>0  \tag{1.3}\\
& \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial \nu}=z_{t}(x, t), \quad x \in \Gamma_{1}, t>0  \tag{1.4}\\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.5}\\
& z(x, 0)=z_{0}(x), \quad x \in \Gamma_{1} . \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\Delta$ is the Laplacian operator, $f, g$ are given functions on $\mathbb{R}$, and $w(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$

[^0]is a cutoff function defined by
\[

w(x)= $$
\begin{cases}1, & \text { for } x \in \Omega_{\eta} \\ 0, & \text { for } x \in \Omega / \Omega_{\eta}\end{cases}
$$
\]

where $\eta>0$ and $\Omega_{\eta}:=\{x \in \Omega ; d(x, \Gamma) \leq \eta\}$.
This model (for $n=3$ ) describes a fluid undergoing small irrotational perturbations from rest in the domain $\Omega$. The portion $\Gamma_{1}$ of its surface is called interface; each point on $\Gamma_{1}$ reacts to the excess pressure from the fluid like a spring, and the "springs" are independent of each other; therefore, $\Gamma_{1}$ is locally reacting. The function $u$ represents the velocity potential and $z$ the normal displacement of $\Gamma_{1}$. The relation (1.4) expresses the impenetrability of the boundary $\Gamma_{1}$. See $[6,17]$ for more physical explanations. For the sake of simplicity, we set all the coefficients to be 1 .

In 1976, Beale [5] pointed out for linear systems under the action of the boundary damping $z_{t}$ (but without any interior damping) that the system energies have no uniform decay rates. Afterwards, Muñoz Rivera and Qin [18] obtained polynomial decay of the energies for smooth initial data. We refer the reader to Abbas and Nicaise $[1,2]$ for deep studies on asymptotic stability, nonuniform stability, and polynomial stability for related systems with generalized acoustic boundary conditions.

In [10], Graber considered porous acoustic boundary conditions, with the interface described by

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}+m(x) z_{t t}+f_{1}(x) z_{t}+g_{1}(x) z=0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{1}, t>0 \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+\theta\left(u_{t}\right)=h(x) \eta\left(z_{t}\right), \quad x \in \Gamma_{1}, t>0 \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

( $m, f_{1}, g_{1}, h, \theta$, and $\eta$ being given functions), and obtained (among others) uniform decay rates thanks to the additional dissipative term $\theta\left(u_{t}\right)$; see also [11] and the references therein for related studies. Recently, Vicenti and Frota [19] took into account acoustic boundary conditions to a nonlocally reacting boundary, with the interface described by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho_{0} u_{t}(x, t)+m z_{t t}(x, t)-c^{2} \Delta_{\Gamma} z+f\left(z_{t}\right)+r z=0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{1}, t>0  \tag{1.8}\\
\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial \nu}=z_{t}(x, t), \quad x \in \Gamma_{1}, t>0
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\rho_{0}, m, c$ are positive constants, $r \geq 0$, and $\Delta_{\Gamma}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator; they put a (nonlinear) internal localized damping term in the wave equation to achieve uniform stability successfully.

The aim of this paper is to obtain uniform stability of the system (1.1)-(1.6). The system contains an internal localized damping term $w(x) u_{t}$ in (1.1) as in [19] (for simplicity we here consider only linear dampings with coefficient 1 close to the boundary), which indicates that there is a thin absorption material with the thickness of $\eta>0$ and flow resistance on the endophragm of $\Gamma$ (cf. [17, section 6.2]). On the other hand, the interface $\Gamma_{1}$ is locally reacting (as in the original work $[5,6]$ ), in contrast to that in [19]. That is, our system does not contain such a term as $-c^{2} \Delta_{\Gamma} z$ in (1.8). However, it is the lack of this term that brings about essential difficulty in doing stability analysis. Actually, the integral $\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z^{2} d \Gamma$ is no longer a lower-order term below the energy level since the energy $E(t)$ (see (3.1) below) does not contain $\int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left|\nabla_{T} z\right|^{2} d \Gamma$. This would result in the failure of the compactness-uniqueness argument (compare with the proof for $[19,(3.36)], \delta$ there representing $z$ here). The argument is a general
method used to absorb lower-order terms (cf. $[10,11,13]$ ). Therefore, we have to find some new ideas to deal with the problem. In this paper, we present a new method to obtain uniform decay rates for the damped wave equations with nonlinear acoustic boundary conditions.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give a well-posedness result by the theory of nonlinear semigroups. Section 3 is devoted to presenting our stability theorem; we will combine flexibly the method of convex functions (as in Lasiecka and Tataru [13] and Liu and Zuazua [15]), the method of Lyapunov functions (as in [15]), and the cutoff technique (as in Martinez [16]) to prove the uniform stability of the energy.
2. Well-posedness. We let

$$
V(\Omega)=\left\{u(x) \in H^{1}(\Omega),\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=0\right\},
$$

with the inner product and norm

$$
(u, v)_{V}:=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) d x, \quad|u|_{V}:=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{2} d x .
$$

Note that Poincare's inequality holds in $V(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x \leq c \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some constant $c>0$. For the spaces $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$, we define the inner products and norms by, as usual,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(u, v) & =\int_{\Omega} u(x) v(x) d x, \quad|u|=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
(\phi, \psi)_{\Gamma_{1}} & =\int_{\Gamma_{1}} \phi(x) \psi(x) d \Gamma, \quad|\phi|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\left(\int_{\Gamma_{1}}|\phi(x)|^{2} d \Gamma\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the notations given above, we define the "finite energy space" that will be associated with "weak solutions" as

$$
\mathcal{H}:=V(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \times L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right),
$$

where the norm on $\mathcal{H}$ is given by

$$
|(u, v, z, \tilde{z})|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}=|u|_{V}^{2}+|v|^{2}+|z|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2}+|\tilde{z}|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2}
$$

Now we reduce (1.1)-(1.6) to an abstract Cauchy problem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t} U(t)=\mathcal{A} U(t) \\
& U(0)=U_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $U(t)=\left(u, u_{t}, z, z_{t}\right)^{T}$ is a vector in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{A}: D(A) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is an operator with the domain

$$
D(\mathcal{A})=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v \\
z \\
\tilde{z}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{H} ; \quad v \in V(\Omega), \Delta u \in L^{2}(\Omega),\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\tilde{z}\right\}
$$

The action of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ is given by the matrix

$$
\mathcal{A}\left(\begin{array}{c}
u \\
v \\
z \\
\tilde{z}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
v \\
\Delta u-w v \\
q \\
-v-f(\tilde{z})-g(z)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Next we show the well-posedness of the nonlinear system (1.1)-(1.6) by the nonlinear monotone operator method. For this, we need some conditions on $f$ and $g$.

Assumption (A1). The functions $f, g \in C(\mathbb{R})$ are monotone nondecreasing such that $g(0)=0, f(0)=0, g^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(s)| \leq C|s| \quad \text { for }|s| \geq 1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant.
We note that $f(z) \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ whenever $z \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$, under the condition (2.2).
Theorem 2.1. Suppose that Assumption (A1) holds. Then for $U(0) \in \mathcal{H}$, the system (1.1)-(1.6) has a unique mild solution $U\left(t ; U_{0}\right) \in C([0, \infty) ; \mathcal{H})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U\left(t ; U_{1}\right)-U\left(t ; U_{2}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq e^{\omega t}\left\|U_{1}-U_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text { for } U_{1}, U_{2} \in \mathcal{H}, t \geq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some constant $\omega>0$. Moreover, if $U(0) \in D(\mathcal{A})$, then $U\left(t ; U_{0}\right) \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1,1}(0, \infty ; \mathcal{H})$ is a strong solution of (1.1)-(1.6).

Proof. For $U_{i}=\left(u_{i}, v_{i}, z_{i}, \tilde{z}_{i}\right)^{T} \in D(\mathcal{A}), i=1,2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{A} U_{1}-\mathcal{A} U_{2}, U_{1}-U_{2}\right) \\
= & \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)\left(\tilde{z}_{1}-\tilde{z}_{2}\right)-\left(\tilde{z}_{1}-\tilde{z}_{2}\right)\left(g\left(z_{1}\right)-g\left(z_{2}\right)\right) \\
& -\left(\tilde{z}_{1}-\tilde{z}_{2}\right)\left(f\left(\tilde{z}_{1}\right)-f\left(\tilde{z}_{2}\right)\right) d \Gamma-\int_{\Omega} w\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{2} d x \\
\leq & \omega\left|U_{1}-U_{2}\right|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $\omega>0$. Therefore, $\omega I-\mathcal{A}$ is monotone.
Next, we show that the range of $\lambda I-\mathcal{A}$ is all of $\mathcal{H}$ for $\lambda>\omega$. To the end, we let $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \in \mathcal{H}$ and try to find $U=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda u_{1}-u_{2}=x_{1}  \tag{2.4}\\
\lambda u_{2}-\Delta u_{1}+w u_{2}=x_{2}, \\
\lambda u_{3}-u_{4}=x_{3} \\
\lambda u_{4}+u_{2}+f\left(u_{4}\right)+g\left(u_{3}\right)=x_{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

To prove the existence of solution $U$ to (2.4), we apply the method of perturbation of maximal monotone operator as in [12,13].

Define $\mathcal{N}: L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \rightarrow H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)$ such that for $\phi \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right), \mathcal{N} \phi=\psi$ is the solution of the following equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta \psi=0 \\
\left.\psi\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=0,\left.\quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\phi
\end{array}\right.
$$

moreover, define

$$
A u=-\Delta u, \quad D(A)=\left\{u \in H^{2}(\Omega) ;\left.\quad u\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=0,\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0\right\}
$$

which can be extended to a continuous operator from $V$ to $V^{\prime}$ (with respect to the $L^{2}$ duality).

From the last two equations of (2.4), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda u_{4}+u_{2}+f\left(u_{4}\right)+g\left(\frac{x_{3}+u_{4}}{\lambda}\right)=x_{4} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a scalar function $J$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ by

$$
J\left(t, s, s_{0}, s_{1}\right)=\lambda t+s+f(t)+g\left(\frac{s_{0}+t}{\lambda}\right)-s_{1}
$$

By Assumption(A1), we know that $J$ is a continuous function, which is strictly increasing in $t$ satisfying

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} J\left(t, s, s_{0}, s_{1}\right)= \pm \infty
$$

Therefore, for any $\left(s, s_{0}, s_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, there exists a unique $t$, denoted by $-F\left(s, s_{0}, s_{1}\right)$, such that $J\left(t, s, s_{0}, s_{1}\right)=0$. It is clear that the implicit function $F$ is also a continuous function, being strictly increasing in the first variable. From (2.5) we obtain

$$
u_{4}=-F\left(u_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)
$$

Substituting it and $u_{1}=\frac{x_{1}+u_{2}}{\lambda}$ into the second equation of (2.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda u_{2}+A\left(\frac{x_{1}+u_{2}}{\lambda}+\mathcal{N} F\left(u_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)\right)+w u_{2}=x_{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define two operators $B_{1}, B_{2}$ from $V$ to $V^{\prime}$ by

$$
B_{1} v=A \mathcal{N} F\left(v, x_{3}, x_{4}\right), \quad B_{2} v=\frac{1}{2} \lambda v+A\left(\frac{x_{1}+v}{\lambda}\right)+w v
$$

Then $B_{1}$ is maximal monotone (it may be written as the subgradient of a convex functional as in [13, p. 514] and [12, p. 89]), and $B_{2}$ is monotone and continuous. From [4, Corollary 1.3, Chapter 2], we see that $B_{1}+B_{2}$ is maximal monotone in $V \times V^{\prime}$. Therefore, (2.6) has a solution $u_{2} \in V$. Accordingly, we see that $\lambda I-\mathcal{A}$ is surjective, and so $\omega I-\mathcal{A}$ is maximal monotone. Therefore, from the nonlinear semigroup theory, we obtain the desired conclusion.
3. A new method to obtain uniform decay rates. We define the energy of the system (1.1)-(1.6) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}+u_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t}^{2} d \Gamma+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} G(z) d \Gamma \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(t):=\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s$. Under Assumption (A1), it is easy to see that the following energy identity holds for strong solutions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=E(0)-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} w u_{t}^{2} d x d t-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f\left(z_{t}\right) z_{t} d \Gamma d t \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we see that $E(t)$ is decreasing for all finite energy solutions.
For studying the stability of the system energy, we need more conditions on $f$ and $g$.
Assumption(A2). There exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(s)|,|g(s)| \geq c|s| \quad \text { if }|s| \geq 1 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assumption(A3).
(a) There exist two strictly increasing $C^{2}$-functions $h_{1}(s), h_{2}(s):[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
c h_{1}(|s|) \leq|f(s)| \leq C h_{1}^{-1}(|s|), \quad|s| \leq 1  \tag{3.4}\\
h_{2}(|s|) \leq|g(s)|, \quad|s| \leq 1 . \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

(b) The function $h_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}(s t) \leq C h_{1}(s) h_{1}(t), \quad s, t \in[0, \infty), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exists an increasing and convex function $\phi(s):[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, with $\phi^{\prime \prime}(s)$ positive and bounded on $\left(0, s_{0}\right)$ for any $s_{0}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(|s|^{2}\right) \leq h_{i}(|s|)|s|, \quad|s| \leq 1, \quad i=1,2 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

here, $C, c$ are positive constants.
Theorem 3.1. Let $f, g$ satisfy Assumptions (A1), (A2), and (A3). Then the energy of system (1.1)-(1.6) decays to zero, uniformly in initial data with $E(0) \leq r$ (for any fixed $r>0$ ). More precisely, we have

$$
E(t) \leq S\left(\frac{t}{T_{0}}-1\right), \quad t>T_{0}
$$

for some positive constant $T_{0}>0$; here $S(\cdot)$ is the solution of the ODE

$$
S^{\prime}(t)+q(S(t))=0, \quad \text { with the initial value } S(0)=E(0),
$$

the function $q(\cdot)$ being given by $q(s):=s-(I+p)^{-1}(s)$ with $p(0)=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(s):=\bar{C}_{1} \varepsilon(s) \phi(a s), \quad s>0, \\
& \varepsilon(s):=\left[1+\frac{\bar{C}_{2}}{\phi^{\prime}(a s)}+\frac{\bar{C}_{3}}{\sqrt{\phi^{\prime}(a s)}} h_{1}\left(\frac{C}{\sqrt{\phi^{\prime}(a s)}}\right)\right]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

( $C>0$ is the constant in (3.4), and a, $\bar{C}_{1}, \bar{C}_{2}, \bar{C}_{3}$ are positive constants which depend continuously on $E(0)$ ).

Proof. We fix $r>0$ and assume $E(0) \leq r$; also, we may and do assume $E(t)>0$ for $t \geq 0$ (in the other case, $E(t) \equiv 0$ ). Throughout the proof, $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{2}^{\prime}$, and $C_{3}$ denote generic positive constants, which may depend on $r$ and may vary from line to line. Moreover, we only need to deal with the strong solution case (the general case can be handled by a density argument owing to (2.3)).

Step 1. We first introduce an auxiliary function $V(t)$, and estimate $V^{\prime}(t)$.
Define

$$
V(t)=E(t)+\varepsilon \psi(E(t))\left[\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z z_{t} d \Gamma+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z u d \Gamma\right],
$$

where

$$
\psi(s):=\phi^{\prime}(a s)
$$

and $a, \varepsilon \in(0,1)$, which will be specified later. Differentiating $V(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime}(t)= & E^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon \psi^{\prime}(E(t)) E^{\prime}(t)\left[\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z z_{t} d \Gamma+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z u d \Gamma\right] \\
& +\varepsilon \psi(E(t))\left[\int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2}-|\nabla u|^{2}-w u_{t} u d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} u z_{t}+z_{t}^{2}+z_{t} u+z u_{t}+z z_{t t} d \Gamma\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Assumptions (A1) and (A2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{2} g\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \leq G(z) \leq g(z) z \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2} \leq C_{1}\left[\frac{z}{2} g\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\right] \leq C_{1} G(z) \quad \text { if }|z| \geq 2 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z z_{t} d \Gamma+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z u d \Gamma\right| \leq C_{1}
$$

by the use of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.1). Accordingly, from (1.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime}(t) \leq & E^{\prime}(t)-C_{1} \varepsilon \psi^{\prime}(E(t)) E^{\prime}(t) \\
& +\varepsilon \psi(E(t))\left[\int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2}-\frac{3}{4}|\nabla u|^{2}+C_{1} w u_{t}^{2} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} C_{1} z_{t}^{2}-z g(z)-z f\left(z_{t}\right) d \Gamma\right] \\
\leq & E^{\prime}(t)-C_{1} \varepsilon E^{\prime}(t) \\
& +\varepsilon \psi(E(t))\left[\int_{\Omega}-u_{t}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}}-G(z)-z_{t}^{2} d \Gamma\right] \\
& +\varepsilon \psi(E(t))\left[\int_{\Omega} 2 u_{t}^{2}+C_{1} w u_{t}^{2}-\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} C_{1} z_{t}^{2}-z f\left(z_{t}\right) d \Gamma\right] \\
\leq & E^{\prime}(t)-C_{1} \varepsilon E^{\prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) E(t) \\
& +\varepsilon \psi(E(t))\left[\int_{\Omega} 2 u_{t}^{2}+C_{1} w u_{t}^{2} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} C_{1} z_{t}^{2}-z f\left(z_{t}\right) d \Gamma\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

noting

$$
\psi^{\prime}(E(t))=a \phi^{\prime \prime}(a E(t)) \leq \sup \left\{\phi^{\prime \prime}(s) ; s \in(0, r]\right\}<\infty
$$

due to Assumptions (A3).
Step 2. For dealing with the term $\int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2}$, we need the following lemma, which will be proved later.

Lemma 3.2. There exist two positive constants $T_{1}$ and $C^{\prime}$, depending on $r$ and $n$, such that for any $T>T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E(t)) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} G(z) d \Gamma d t+C^{\prime} \int_{0}^{T}-E^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \quad+C^{\prime} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E(t))\left(\int_{\Omega} w u_{t}^{2} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t}^{2}+f^{2}\left(z_{t}\right) d \Gamma\right) d t \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Making use of this lemma, we infer that for $T>T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T} V^{\prime}(t) d t \leq & \int_{0}^{T}\left[E^{\prime}(t)-C_{1} \varepsilon E^{\prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) E(t)\right] d t \\
& +C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) \int_{\Omega} w u_{t}^{2} d x d t \\
& +C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left[z_{t}^{2}+f^{2}\left(z_{t}\right)+\left|z f\left(z_{t}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{T} G(z)\right] d \Gamma d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3. Let $\phi^{\star}$ denote the Legendre transform of $\phi$ (see [15]) given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\star}(s)=s \phi^{\prime-1}(s)-\phi\left(\phi^{\prime-1}(s)\right), \quad s>0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exploiting (3.11), we will control $\int_{0}^{T} V^{\prime}(t) d t$ with the terms

$$
\int_{0}^{T} E^{\prime}(t) d t, \quad \int_{0}^{T} \phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t))) d t, \quad-\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E(t)) E(t) d t
$$

Clearly, by (3.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}:=C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) w u_{t}^{2} d x d t \leq \int_{0}^{T}-C_{1} \varepsilon \psi(E(0)) E^{\prime}(t) d t . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.3) and Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2} & :=C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) z_{t}^{2} d \Gamma d t \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{G_{2}} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) z_{t} f\left(z_{t}\right) d \Gamma d t+C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{G_{1}} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) z_{t}^{2} d \Gamma d t \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \psi(E(t))\left(-E^{\prime}(t)\right) d t+C_{1} \int_{0}^{T}\left[\varepsilon \phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t)))+\varepsilon \int_{G_{1}} \phi\left(z_{t}^{2}\right) d \Gamma\right] d t  \tag{3.14}\\
& \leq C_{1}\left\{\int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \psi(E(0))\left(-E^{\prime}(t)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}-\varepsilon E^{\prime}(t) d t+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} \phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t))) d t\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

due to (3.2), (3.7), and (3.4), where

$$
G_{1}:=\left\{x \in \Gamma_{1} ;\left|z_{t}\right| \leq 1\right\}, \quad G_{2}:=\left\{x \in \Gamma_{1} ;\left|z_{t}\right| \geq 1\right\} .
$$

Moreover, for any $\tau>0$ with $c(\tau):=\frac{1}{4 \tau}+1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}:= & C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left[f^{2}\left(z_{t}\right)+\left|z f\left(z_{t}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{T} G(z)\right] d \Gamma d t \\
\leq & C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left[\frac{1}{T} G(z)+\tau z^{2}\right] d \Gamma d t \\
& +C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} c(\tau) f^{2}\left(z_{t}\right) d \Gamma d t \\
= & I_{4}+I_{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
R_{1}:=\left\{x \in \Gamma_{1} ;|z| \leq 1\right\}, \quad R_{2}:=\left\{x \in \Gamma_{1} ;|z| \geq 1\right\}
$$

using Young's inequality and noting

$$
G(z) \geq \frac{1}{2} c z^{2} \text { for }|z| \geq 1(\text { by }(3.3)) \text { and } \frac{z}{2} g\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \leq G(z)
$$

we infer from (3.7) and (3.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4}= & C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left[\frac{1}{T} G(z)+\tau z^{2}\right] d \Gamma d t \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{T} \int_{R_{2}} C_{1} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) \tau G(z) d \Gamma d t+C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{R_{1}} \tau \varepsilon \phi\left(z^{2}\right) d \Gamma d t \\
& +C_{1} \varepsilon \tau \int_{0}^{T} \phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t))) d t+\int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \frac{C_{1}}{T} G(z) d \Gamma d t \\
\leq & C_{1}\left\{\int_{0}^{T}\left(\tau+\frac{1}{T}\right) \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) E(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} \tau \varepsilon E(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T} \tau \varepsilon \phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t))) d t\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we take $T_{0}=T_{1}+12 C_{1}$. Letting $T \geq T_{0}$ and $\tau=\tau(E(T))$ with

$$
\tau(s):=\frac{1}{12} C_{1}^{-1}(1+\psi(r))^{-1} \psi(s), \quad s>0
$$

we then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4} \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) E(t) d t+C_{2} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t))) d t \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, taking $t_{0} \in(0,1]$ such that $\sqrt{c(\tau)}|f(s)| \leq 1$ if $|s| \leq t_{0}$, we define

$$
F_{1}=\left\{x \in \Gamma_{1} ;\left|z_{t}\right| \leq t_{0}\right\}, \quad F_{2}=\left\{x \in \Gamma_{1} ;\left|z_{t}\right| \geq t_{0}\right\}
$$

Making use of (2.2), (3.2), Young's inequality, (3.6), and (3.7) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{5}= & C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \psi(E(t)) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} c(\tau) f^{2}\left(z_{t}\right) d \Gamma d t \\
\leq & C_{2} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon c(\tau) \psi(E(t)) \int_{F_{2}} f\left(z_{t}\right) z_{t} d \Gamma d t \\
& +C_{2} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon c(\tau) \psi(E(t)) \int_{F_{1}} f^{2}\left(z_{t}\right) d \Gamma d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & C_{2} \int_{0}^{T}-\varepsilon c(\tau) \psi(E(t)) E^{\prime}(t) d t+C_{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{F_{1}} \varepsilon \phi\left(c(\tau) f^{2}\left(z_{t}\right)\right) d \Gamma d t \\
& +C_{2} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t))) d t \\
\leq & C_{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{F_{1}} \varepsilon \sqrt{c(\tau)}\left|f\left(z_{t}\right)\right| h_{1}\left(\sqrt{c(\tau)}\left|f\left(z_{t}\right)\right|\right) d \Gamma d t \\
& +C_{2} \int_{0}^{T}-\varepsilon c(\tau) \psi(E(t)) E^{\prime}(t) d t+C_{2} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t))) d t \\
\leq & C_{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{F_{1}} \varepsilon \sqrt{c(\tau)}\left|f\left(z_{t}\right)\right| h_{1}(C \sqrt{c(\tau)}) h_{1}\left(C^{-1}\left|f\left(z_{t}\right)\right|\right) d \Gamma d t \\
& +C_{2} \int_{0}^{T}-\varepsilon c(\tau) \psi(E(t)) E^{\prime}(t) d t+C_{2} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t))) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{F_{1}}\left|f\left(z_{t}\right)\right| h_{1}\left(C^{-1}\left|f\left(z_{t}\right)\right|\right) d \Gamma \leq \int_{F_{1}}\left|f\left(z_{t}\right)\right| h_{1}\left(h_{1}^{-1}\left(\left|z_{t}\right|\right)\right) d \Gamma \\
= & \int_{F_{1}} f\left(z_{t}\right) z_{t} d \Gamma \leq-E^{\prime}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.4) and (3.2). Accordingly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{5} \leq & C_{2} \int_{0}^{T}-\varepsilon c(\tau) \psi(E(0)) E^{\prime}(t) d t+C_{2} \int_{0}^{T}-\varepsilon \sqrt{c(\tau)} h_{1}(C \sqrt{c(\tau)}) E^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& +C_{2} \int_{0}^{T} \varepsilon \phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t))) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this and (3.11)-(3.15) together, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{T} V^{\prime}(t) d t \leq & \int_{0}^{T}\left\{C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon \phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t)))-\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \psi(E(t)) E(t)\right\} d t  \tag{3.16}\\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left\{1-C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon\left[1+c(\tau)+\sqrt{c(\tau)} h_{1}(C \sqrt{c(\tau)})\right]\right\} E^{\prime}(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Step 4. Taking a suitable $\varepsilon$, we will drop the controlling term in row 2 of (3.16), and show that $V(t)$ is equivalent to the energy $E(t)$.

Observe, by Young's inequality, (3.12), (3.7), (3.5), and (3.8),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon \psi(E(t)) \int_{\Gamma_{12}} z^{2} d x & \leq C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon \phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t)))+C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_{12}} \phi\left(\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2}\right) d \Gamma \\
& \leq C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon\left[\phi^{\prime}(a E(t)) a E(t)-\phi(a E(t))\right]+C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_{12}} G(z) d \Gamma \\
& \leq C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon \phi^{\prime}(a E(0)) a E(t)+C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_{1}} G(z) d \Gamma \\
& \leq C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon E(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma_{12}:=\left\{x \in \Gamma_{1} ;|z| \leq 2\right\}$. From this and (3.9), we have

$$
\varepsilon \psi(E(t))\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z z_{t} d \Gamma+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z u d \Gamma\right| \leq C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon E(t) .
$$

Let

$$
\varepsilon=\varepsilon(E(T))
$$

with

$$
\varepsilon(s):=\frac{1}{2 C_{2}^{\prime}}\left[1+c(\tau(s))+\sqrt{c(\tau(s))} h_{1}(C \sqrt{c(\tau(s))})\right]^{-1}, \quad s>0
$$

(an increasing function). Then

$$
\varepsilon \psi(E(t))\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z z_{t} d \Gamma+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z u d \Gamma\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} E(t),
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} E(t) \leq V(t) \leq \frac{3}{2} E(t) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, it follows from (3.16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} V^{\prime}(t) d t \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left\{C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon \phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t)))-\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \psi(E(t)) E(t)\right\} d t \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 5. From (3.18), we will derive a discrete inequality for $V(t)$ which enables us to obtain the energy decay rate by means of [13, Lemma 3.3].

Take $a>0$ small enough such that $a C_{2}^{\prime} \leq \frac{1}{4}$, and notice

$$
\phi^{\star}(\psi(E(t)))=\phi^{\prime}(a E(t)) a E(t)-\phi(a E(t)) .
$$

Then (3.18) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T} V^{\prime}(t) d t & \leq \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T}\left(C_{2}^{\prime} a-\frac{1}{4}\right) \phi^{\prime}(a E(t)) E(t)-C_{2}^{\prime} \phi(a E(t)) d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}-\varepsilon C_{2}^{\prime} \phi(a E(t)) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and (3.17), we get

$$
C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon\left(\frac{2}{3} V(T)\right) \phi\left(\frac{2 a}{3} V(T)\right) T \leq V(0)-V(T) .
$$

As in [13], we fix $T=T_{0}$ and define

$$
p(x)=C_{2}^{\prime} \varepsilon\left(\frac{2}{3} x\right) \phi\left(\frac{2 a}{3} x\right) T_{0},
$$

and it is easy to see that $p(x)$ is a positive, increasing function with $p(0)=0$.
Also, the same arguments apply to the time interval $\left[m T_{0},(m+1) T_{0}\right]$ for any positive integer $m$. Thus, we have

$$
V\left(m T_{0}+T_{0}\right)+p\left(V\left(m T_{0}+T_{0}\right)\right) \leq V\left(m T_{0}\right), \quad m=0,1, \ldots
$$

Using Lemma 3.3 in [13], we get

$$
V(t) \leq S\left(\frac{t}{T_{0}}-1\right), \quad t>T_{0}
$$

where $S(t)$ is the solution of

$$
\frac{d}{d t} S(t)+q(S(t))=0, \quad S(0)=V(0)
$$

with $q(s):=s-(I+p)^{-1}(s)$. Therefore, by (3.17), we obtain $E(t) \leq 2 V(t)$, which ends the proof.

## Remark 3.3.

(1). In the above proof, we used a Lyapunov function $V(t)$, which is equivalent to the energy $E(t)$ and somewhat similar to the one in [15], but estimating $V(t)$ here turns out to be much more difficult. Indeed, the inner damping is only local (in contrast to that in [15]), and it takes time to control the whole energy of the system, so an estimate with time integral is definitely involved (see Lemma 3.2). Thus, we are unable to derive a nonlinear differential relation for $V(t)$ (as in $[15,(2.9)]$ ). We ended up obtaining the decay rate of $V(t)$ from a discrete inequality for $V(t)$ with the help of a lemma in [13]. In our case, another new difficulty is caused by the lack of compactness in the dynamics on the boundary; this means that a compactness-uniqueness type of argument would fail (as explained in section 1). We then adopted the convex function $\phi$ (also used in [15] to control the inner damping term) to subtly control the boundary term $g(z)$ as well as the boundary damping term $f\left(z_{t}\right)$.
(2). We would like to say that the local inner damping is important for the proof of Theorem 3.1. One of the key points is how to control the boundary term $\int_{\Gamma_{1}} u_{t}^{2} d \Gamma$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.2). Due to the existence of term $z_{t t}$, one cannot obtain the estimate for $\int_{\Gamma_{1}} u_{t}^{2} d \Gamma$ only from the boundary damping $f\left(z_{t}\right)$. In fact, without the inner damping, the energy would not decay in a uniform way (as indicated in [6]).
Example 3.4. Let Assumptions (A1) and (A2) hold. Suppose that there are $\alpha \geq$ $\beta \geq 1$ and $C, c>0$ such that for $|s| \leq 1$,

$$
C|s|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \geq|f(s)| \geq c|s|^{\alpha}, \quad|g(s)| \geq c|s|^{\beta}
$$

Then we have

$$
E(t) \leq \begin{cases}C_{0} e^{-c_{0} t}, & \text { if } \alpha=1  \tag{3.19}\\ C_{0}(t+1)^{-\frac{1}{3}}, & \text { if } 1<\alpha<3 \\ C_{0}(t+1)^{-\frac{4}{(\alpha+1)^{2}-4}}, & \text { if } \alpha \geq 3,\end{cases}
$$

where $C_{0}, c_{0}$ are positive constants depending on $E(0)$.
Indeed, take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}(s)=c s^{\alpha}, \quad h_{2}(s)=c s^{\beta} \\
& \phi(s)=c s^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \text { if } \alpha=1 \text { or } \alpha \geq 3, \quad \phi(s)=c s^{2} \text { if } 1<\alpha<3
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that for $s$ small,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon(s) \sim c_{1} s^{\frac{\alpha^{2}-1}{4}}, \quad p(s) \sim c_{2} s^{\frac{(\alpha+1)^{2}}{4}}, \quad q(s) \sim c_{3} s^{\frac{(\alpha+1)^{2}}{4}}, \quad \text { if } \alpha=1, \quad \text { or } \alpha \geq 3 \\
& \varepsilon(s) \sim c_{1} s^{2}, \quad p(s) \sim c_{2} s^{4}, \quad q(s) \sim c_{3} s^{4}, \quad \text { if } 1<\alpha<3
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right.$, and $c_{3}$ are positive constants depending on $\left.E(0)\right)$. This leads to the estimate (3.19) in view of Theorem 3.1

Now it remains to prove Lemma 3.2.
Proof of Lemma 3.2. We use the cutoff technique (cf. [16]) to overcome the problem caused by the term $u_{t}$ on $\Gamma$.

Step 1. Write

$$
\Gamma_{b}=\bigcup_{x \in \Gamma}\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ;|y-x|<b\right\}
$$

with $b>0$ a small constant. We then construct a cutoff function $\varphi(x) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1 \\
& \varphi(x)=1, x \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash Q_{1}\right) \cap \Omega \triangleq \Omega \backslash Q_{1} \\
& \varphi(x)=0, x \in Q_{0} \cup\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

here $Q_{0}, Q_{1}$ are two open domains with

$$
\Gamma_{b} \subset Q_{0} \subset Q_{1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\left(\Omega \backslash \Omega_{\eta}\right)
$$

and

$$
\overline{Q_{0}} \cap\left(\overline{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}}\right)=\emptyset, \quad \overline{Q_{1}} \cap\left(\overline{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{\eta}}\right)=\emptyset .
$$

Multiplying (1.1) by $\varphi x \cdot \nabla u \psi(E)$ and integrating in time and space, we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}} \frac{n}{2} u_{t}^{2}+\left(1-\frac{n}{2}\right)|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t  \tag{3.20}\\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma} \varphi x \cdot \nabla u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+\frac{\varphi x \cdot \nu}{2}\left(u_{t}^{2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d \Gamma d t \\
& \quad-\left.\int_{\Omega} \varphi x \cdot \nabla u u_{t} \psi(E)\right|_{0} ^{T} d x+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \psi^{\prime}(E) E^{\prime} \varphi x \cdot \nabla u u_{t} d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} \tau_{1}|\nabla u|^{2}+\tilde{c}\left(\tau_{1}\right) w u_{t}^{2} d x d t+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}} u_{t}^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq C_{3} \psi(E(0)) E(0)-C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi^{\prime}(E) E^{\prime} E d t \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} \tau_{1}|\nabla u|^{2}+\tilde{c}\left(\tau_{1}\right) w u_{t}^{2} d x d t+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}} u_{t}^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq C_{3} \psi(E(0)) E(0)+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} \tau_{1}|\nabla u|^{2}+\tilde{c}\left(\tau_{1}\right) w u_{t}^{2} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\tau_{1}>0\left(\tilde{c}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right.$ is a positive constant depending on $\left.\tau_{1}\right)$. Here, we used the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \varphi x \cdot \nabla u u_{t t} d x \\
& \quad=\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} \varphi x \cdot \nabla u u_{t} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \varphi x \cdot \nu\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d \Gamma+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\varphi x)\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \varphi x \cdot \nabla u \triangle u d x \\
& \quad=\int_{\Gamma} \varphi x \cdot \nabla u \frac{d u}{d \nu} d \Gamma-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \varphi x \cdot \nu|\nabla u|^{2} d \Gamma \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\varphi x)|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} \sum_{j, k} \frac{\partial\left(\phi x_{k}\right)}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

moreover, we used the Cauchy-Schwar inequality, the increasing property of $\psi(s)$, the decreasing property of $E(s)$, and the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{0}^{T} \psi^{\prime}(E) E^{\prime} E d t=-\int_{0}^{T} E d \psi(E) \leq E(0) \psi(E(0)) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, multiplying (1.1) by $\psi(E) u$ and integrating in time and space, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2}-|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t\right| \leq & C_{3} \psi(E(0)) E(0)+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t}^{2} d \Gamma d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} \tau_{1}|\nabla u|^{2}+\tilde{c}\left(\tau_{1}\right) w u_{t}^{2} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we try to obtain an estimate in terms of $|\nabla u|$. Combining (3.20) and (3.22), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t  \tag{3.23}\\
& =\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}} \frac{n}{2} u_{t}^{2}+\left(1-\frac{n}{2}\right)|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{n}{2}\left(u_{t}^{2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}} \frac{n}{2} u_{t}^{2}+\left(1-\frac{n}{2}\right)|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t+\frac{n}{2}\left|\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}}\left(u_{t}^{2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t\right| \\
& \leq C_{3} \psi(E(0)) E(0)+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t}^{2} d \Gamma d t \\
& \quad+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} \tau_{1}|\nabla u|^{2}+\tilde{c}\left(\tau_{1}\right) w u_{t}^{2} d x d t+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

From now on, the general constant $C_{3}$ also depends on $n$. Multiplying $(n-1)$ by (3.23), together with (3.20), leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}} u_{t}^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq C_{3} \psi(E(0)) E(0)+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t}^{2} d \Gamma d t \\
& \quad+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} \tau_{1}|\nabla u|^{2}+\tilde{c}\left(\tau_{1}\right) w u_{t}^{2} d x d t+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with (3.20), implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t  \tag{3.2.2}\\
& =\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E)\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{1}}+\int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}\right) u_{t}^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq C_{3} \psi(E(0)) E(0)+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t}^{2} d \Gamma d t \\
& \quad+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} \tau_{1}|\nabla u|^{2}+\tilde{c}\left(\tau_{1}\right) w u_{t}^{2} d x d t+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Step 2. In order to deal with $\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t$, we define a cutoff function $\xi(x) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq \xi(x) \leq 1 \\
& \xi(x)=1, x \in Q_{1} \\
& \xi(x)=0, x \in \Omega \backslash Q_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $Q_{2}$ is an open domain with

$$
Q_{1} \subset Q_{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\left(\Omega \backslash \Omega_{\eta}\right)
$$

and

$$
\overline{Q_{1}} \cap\left(\overline{\Omega \backslash Q_{2}}\right)=\emptyset, \quad \overline{Q_{2}} \cap\left(\overline{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{\eta}}\right)=\emptyset .
$$

Multiplying (1.1) by $\xi u \psi(E)$ and integrating in time and space, we then obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \left.\int_{\Omega} \xi u u_{t} \psi(E)\right|_{0} ^{T} d x-\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} \xi u_{t}^{2} d x d t-\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \xi u z_{t} d \Gamma d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} \xi w u u_{t} d x d t-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \psi^{\prime}(E) E^{\prime} \xi u u_{t} d x d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma} \frac{u^{2}}{2} \nu \cdot \nabla \xi d x d t-\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2}}{2} \Delta \xi d x d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} \xi|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the construction of $\xi$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq C_{3} \psi(E(0)) E(0)+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t}^{2} d \Gamma d t  \tag{3.25}\\
& \quad+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} \tau_{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+\tilde{c}\left(\tau_{2}\right) w u_{t}^{2} d x d t+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} u^{2} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\tau_{2}>0$; here, we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (2.1), and (3.21).
Step 3. To estimate $\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} u^{2} d x d t$, we construct another cutoff function $\beta(x) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq \beta(x) \leq 1 \\
& \beta(x)=1, x \in Q_{2} \\
& \beta(x)=0, x \in \Omega \backslash \Omega_{\eta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $v(x)$ be the solution of the following elliptic problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta v=\beta(x) u, x \in \Omega \\
& v=0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{0} \\
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=0, x \in \Gamma_{1} \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

We multiply (3.26) by $v(x)$ to deduce that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x=-\int_{\Omega} \beta u v d x \leq C_{3}\left(\int_{\Omega} \beta u^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega} v^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Thus, using Poincare's inequality gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} v^{2} d x \leq C_{3} \int_{\Omega} \beta u^{2} d x \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} v_{t}^{2} d x \leq C_{3} \int_{\Omega} \beta u_{t}^{2} d x \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, multiplying (1.1) by $\psi(E) v$ and integrating in time and space yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \left.\int_{\Omega} v u_{t} \psi(E)\right|_{0} ^{T} d x-\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} v_{t} u_{t} d x d t-\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} v z_{t} d \Gamma d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} w v u_{t} d x d t-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \psi^{\prime}(E) E^{\prime} v u_{t} d x d t-\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} u \Delta v d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, employing Young's inequality, (3.27), (3.28), and (3.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega \cap Q_{2}} u^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq C_{3} \psi(E(0)) E(0)+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t}^{2} d \Gamma d t  \tag{3.29}\\
& \quad+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} \tau_{3} u_{t}^{2}+\tilde{c}\left(\tau_{3}\right) w u_{t}^{2} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\tau_{3}>0$. Now, combining the above estimates (3.24), (3.25), and (3.29) and choosing $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}$ small enough, we see

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}+u_{t}^{2} d x d t \leq & C_{3} \psi(E(0)) E(0)+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t}^{2} d \Gamma d t  \tag{3.30}\\
& +C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} w u_{t}^{2} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

Step 4. It remains to estimate $\psi(E(0)) E(0)$. By adding $\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(z_{t}^{2}+G(z)\right) d \Gamma d t$ to (3.30), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) E d t \leq & C_{3} \psi(E(0)) E(0)+C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} w u_{t}^{2} d x d t \\
& +C_{3} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t}^{2}+G(z) d \Gamma d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice from (3.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(E(t)) E(t)= & \psi(E(0)) E(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \psi^{\prime}(E) E^{\prime} E d \tau \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} \psi(E)\left(\int_{\Omega} w u_{t}^{2} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t} f\left(z_{t}\right) d \Gamma\right) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left.\psi^{\prime}(E(t))\right)=\phi^{\prime \prime}(a E(t)) a
$$

Combining this with (3.31), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(E(0)) E(0) \leq & \frac{r T \sup \left\{\phi^{\prime \prime}(s) ; s \in(0, r]\right\}}{T-C_{3}} \int_{0}^{T}-E^{\prime} d t \\
& +\frac{T}{T-C_{3}} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E)\left(\int_{\Omega} w u_{t}^{2} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t} f\left(z_{t}\right) d \Gamma\right) d t \\
& +\frac{C_{3}}{T-C_{3}} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E)\left(\int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t}^{2}+G(z) d \Gamma+\int_{\Omega} w u_{t}^{2} d x\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for $T>C_{3}$, This and (3.30) together yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq \frac{C_{3}^{2}}{T-C_{3}} \int_{0}^{T} \psi(E) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} G(z) d \Gamma d t+\frac{C_{3} r T \sup \left\{\phi^{\prime \prime}(s) ; s \in(0, r]\right\}}{T-C_{3}} \int_{0}^{T}-E^{\prime} d t \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T} \psi(E)\left[\left(\frac{C_{3}^{2}}{T-C_{3}}+\frac{C_{3} T}{T-C_{3}}\right) \int_{\Omega} w u_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{C_{3} T}{T-C_{3}} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f^{2}\left(z_{t}\right) d \Gamma\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\left(C_{3}+\frac{C_{3} T}{T-C_{3}}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} z_{t}^{2} d \Gamma\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking

$$
T_{1}=2 C_{3}, \quad C^{\prime}=2 C_{3}^{2}+3 C_{3}+2 C_{3} r \sup \left\{\phi^{\prime \prime}(s) ; s \in(0, r]\right\}
$$

we then obtain the estimate (3.10).
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